



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/690,747

Ohtani et al

Examiner

Advisory Action

**Robert Kunemund** 

Group Art Unit 1765

ТН	E PERIC	DD F	OR RESPONS	SE: [check o	nly a) or b)]							
	a) .	expir	es	_ months from	the mailing date	e of the final	rejection.					
	b)		er. In no event								ory Action, which he date of the fin	
	date on determir	which	h the response, he period of ex	, the petition, a tension and the		e been filed is g amount of t	s the date o the fee.  An	of the respo ny extension	onse and also n fee pursuar	the date for the date for	appropriate fee. or the purposes on R 1.17 will be	
					from the date whichever is							any
					n, filed on tion in conditi			as been c	onsidered v	with the f	ollowing effect	,
X	The pro	opos	ed amendme	nt(s):								
	wil	l be	entered upon	filing of a N	otice of Appe	eal and an A	Appeal Bri	ief.				
	X wil	l not	be entered b	ecause:								
	${ m X}_{ m c}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).											
	X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).											
		X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.									the	
	X	they	present addi	itional claims	without cand	celling a co	rrespondii	ng numbe	er of finally	rejected o	claims.	
	ТОИ	E:	There is no o	direct antece	dent basis for	r the new l	imitation,	creating .	new matte	r consider	ations . Furthe	er, the
			<u>new limitatio</u>	<u>on raises new</u>	issues conc	erning the :	shape of t	the silicor	n which has	s not been	an issue.	
					me the follow he rejection o			t only on	the claims	as finally	rejected.	
			posed or ame imely filed an		ncelling the r	non-allowat	ole claims		would be	allowable	if submitted in	a
X	for all	owar	nce because:		econsideration the etching						oplication in col	ndition
			vit or exhibit ner in the fina		considered be	ecause it is	not direct	ted SOLE	LY to issue	s which v	were newly rais	sed by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):											
	Claims allowed:											
	Claims objected to:											
	Claims	reje	cted: 1-3, 5-	-8, 10-14, an	d 16-25							
											I by the Examir	
	Note t	he at	ttached Infori	mation Disclo	sure Statemo	ent(s), PTO	)-1449, Pa	aper No(s	)			1
	Other									<del>-</del>	101	
										, <i>t</i> . F	ROBERT KUNEM	UND